

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/091,333	10/26/1998	ANTHONY CORNELIUS O'SULLIVAN	PI/5-20691A	4833		
26748	7590 10/09/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
SYNGENT	A CROP PROTECTI	GERSTL, ROBERT				
PATENT AT 410 SWING	ND TRADEMARK DE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GREENSBO	ORO, NC 27409	1626				
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	58		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
Office Action Summary				O'SULLIVAN ET AL.					
		09/091,333			<del>\</del> L.				
	chico housin cummany	Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Robert Gerstl	er sheet with the c	1626	Idress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status 1)⊠	Posponsivo to communication(s) filed on (14)	August 2003							
2a)□									
· · ·	,			rosecution as to th	no morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-7,9,10,12 and 13</u> is/are pending in	the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) <u>2-5,12,13</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
		n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. & 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
۷,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmer	nt(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 1626

1. Claims 6, 7, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims lack antecedent basis in claim 2 for the term "the solvent". Changing the term in claim 6 to "the reaction is run in a solvent selected from the group consisting of" would overcome the rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Gerstl whose telephone number is 703 308-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached on 703 308-4537. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Robert Gerstl Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626 Application/Control Number: 09/091,333 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

Claim 1 of Kraatz is the count. Claim 2 of O'Sullivan corresponds to the count differing solely in the definition of R1 of Kraatz. In O'Sullivan, R1 is H. The recitation of 'optional solvent' in Kraatz and the absence of any recitation in O'Sullivan is not deemed to be a difference. (Note claims 6, 7, 9, 10 of O'Sullivan).

Claims 6, 7, 9, 10 of O'Sullivan lack antecedent basis in claim 2 for the term "the solvent". Changing the term in claim 6 to read "the reaction is run in a solvent selected from the group consisting of" would overcome any rejection under 35USC112.